

View Comment

Comment Information

Document Section	Local Plan to 2030 - Publication Draft TOPIC POLICIES SECTION C - TRANSPORT Cycling Content
Comment ID	ALP/11
Respondent	Kennington Community Forum (Christopher Morley)
Response Date	21 Jun 2016
Current Status	Accepted
Do you consider this part of the document is Sound?	No
On which grounds do you consider the document unsound? (if applicable)	Not Positively Prepared
Do you consider the Document is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Comment	The policy specifically refers to National Cycle Route 18, but does not refer to the ambition put forward during planning of Conningbrook Lakes to improve routing of the cycle route through Little Burton and re-route through Conningbrook Lakes to take advantage of the new park.
What changes do you suggest to make the document legally compliant or sound?	The plan should state this ambition, ensuring that routes through the park are protected (especially bearing in mind proposals for Conningbrook Phase 2 residential development) and seek developer contributions towards the costs of work.
Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?	No
Does your representation relate to an omission site (a site that has not been included). For example a site for Housing, Employment, Travellers, or Local Green Spaces.	No
Please supply details of the omission site.	
Attachments	