

# View Comment

## Comment Information

<b>Document Section</b>	<a href="#">Local Plan to 2030 - Publication Draft</a> <a href="#">SITE POLICIES</a> <a href="#">Ashford</a> <a href="#">Urban Area</a> <a href="#">Eureka Park</a> <a href="#">Content</a>
<b>Comment ID</b>	ALP/1015
<b>Respondent</b>	Kennington Community Forum (Christopher Morley)
<b>Response Date</b>	06 Aug 2016
<b>Current Status</b>	Accepted
<b>Do you consider this part of the document is Sound?</b>	No
<b>On which grounds do you consider the document unsound? (if applicable)</b>	Not Effective
<b>Do you consider the Document is Legally Compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Comment</b>	<p>4.226 states that "A high quality mix of employment and residential development in an attractive landscaped setting could deliver wider benefits to improve the business attraction of the site in particular as well as the town as a whole."</p> <p>While supporting steps to develop employment opportunities on this site to the maximum consistent with existing planning considerations, this policy does not give any indication as to <i>how</i> development for residential purposes actually triggers development for employment. Mixed developments cited by the developer as potential models, such as Kings Hill, are very significantly larger, and it is unlikely that these would scale to this site.</p>
<b>What changes do you suggest to make the document legally compliant or sound?</b>	Provide evidence showing how development for residential purposes actually triggers development for employment in the context of Eureka Business Park.
<b>Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?</b>	Yes

**Does your representation relate to an omission site (a site that has not been included). For example a site for Housing, Employment, Travellers, or Local Green Spaces.**

**Please supply details of the omission site.**

**Attachments**