

# View Comment

## Comment Information

<b>Document Section</b>	<a href="#">Local Plan to 2030 - Publication Draft</a> <a href="#">TOPIC POLICIES</a> <a href="#">SECTION D - THE NATURAL AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT</a> <a href="#">The Historic Environment</a> <a href="#">Conservation Areas</a> <a href="#">Content</a>
<b>Comment ID</b>	ALP/1513
<b>Respondent</b>	Kennington Community Forum (Christopher Morley)
<b>Response Date</b>	09 Aug 2016
<b>Current Status</b>	Accepted
<b>Do you consider this part of the document is Sound?</b>	No
<b>On which grounds do you consider the document unsound? (if applicable)</b>	Not Effective
<b>Do you consider the Document is Legally Compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Comment</b>	Conservation Areas need to be reviewed to ensure that, for each property in a CA, any garden which is habitually considered as part of the that property is included within the CA, regardless of whether separately registered parcels of land are included. This avoids the circumstance of a house being considered within the CA but its garden being exempt.
<b>What changes do you suggest to make the document legally compliant or sound?</b>	Amend the relevant policies on Conservation Areas to ensure this.
<b>Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?</b>	No
<b>Does your representation relate to an omission site (a site that has not been included). For example a site for Housing, Employment, Travellers, or Local Green Spaces.</b>	
<b>Please supply details of the omission site.</b>	

## Attachments